



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,002	12/27/2004	Yoshiyuki Hashimoto	Q85618	7484

23373 7590 01/11/2007
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

NORRIS, JEREMY C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2841

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/519,002

Applicant(s)

HASHIMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Jeremy C. Norris

Art Unit

2841

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4 and 11-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4, 11-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 October 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/06.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 26 October 2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2841

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-4 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casson in view of US 6,670,559 B2 (Centola).

Casson discloses, referring primarily to figures 1 & 2, a circuit board unit comprising: a first substrate (70) including, on a surface thereof, a first group of electrode terminals (72, col. 10, lines 5-20) arranged in a matrix; a second substrate (75) including, on a surface thereof, a second group of electrode terminals arranged in a matrix in alignment with said first group of electrode terminals (col. 18, lines 40-55); and an anisotropic electrical conductor (95) sandwiched between said first and second substrates, wherein said first substrate, said anisotropic electrical conductor, and said second substrate are caused to electrically connect to each other (col. 18, lines 40-55). Casson does not specifically state a pressurizer pressurizing said first substrate, said anisotropic electrical conductor, and said second substrate such that they make close contact with one another [claim 2]. However, Centola teaches, referring primarily to figures 4 and 9, an electromagnetic edge shield on a printed circuit board where the shield pressurizes the printed circuit board (col. 4, line 60 – col. 5, line 25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the edge shield taught by Centola on the circuit board of Casson, which would cause the first substrate, anisotropic conductor and the second substrate to be in pressurized close contact with one another. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide

Art Unit: 2841

a shield to prevent electromagnetic radiation from emitting from the edge of the circuit board (Centola col. 2, lines 35-40).

Additionally, the modified invention of Casson teaches, wherein said pressurizer includes a first plane (900, Centola figure 9) which makes contact with said first substrate, a second plane (bottom portion as viewed in Centola figure 9) which makes contact with said second substrate, and a third plane (vertical portion as viewed in Centola figure 9) which keeps said first and second planes in parallel with each other [claim 3], wherein said pressurizer is composed of a material having a spring characteristic (Centola col. 5, lines 20-25) [claim 4], wherein each of electrode terminals in said first and second groups of electrode terminals is formed with at least one via-hole (near reference 85), at least one wire extends from said first and second groups of electrode terminals through said via-hole and inner layers or a lower surface of said first substrate, and a recess caused by said via-hole is absorbed into said anisotropic electrical conductor due to elasticity thereof when said first substrate, said anisotropic electrical conductor, and said second substrate are pressurized (figure 2 and col. 19, lines 40-60) [claim 12], wherein each of electrode terminals in said first and second groups of electrode terminals is formed with at least one via-hole (near reference 85), at least one wire extends from said first and second groups of electrode terminals through said via-hole and inner layers or a lower surface of said first substrate (figure 2 and col. 19, lines 40-60), said each of electrode terminals has a planar area (72, 76) in which said via-hole is not formed, and said each of electrode terminals makes contact with said anisotropic electrical conductor through said planar area [claim 13], wherein each

Art Unit: 2841

of electrode terminals in said first and second groups of electrode terminals is formed with at least one via-hole (near reference 85), at least one wire extends from said first and second groups of electrode terminals through said via-hole and inner layers or a lower surface of said first substrate (figure 2 and col. 19, lines 40-60), an exposed surface of said each of electrode terminals defines a planar surface (72, 76), and said each of electrode terminals makes contact with said anisotropic electrical conductor through said exposed surface [claim 14], wherein said anisotropic electrical conductor includes either a metal wire selected from a gold wire, a copper wire, a brass wire, a phosphor bronze wire, a nickel wire, or a stainless wire as electrically conductive material, or one of metal particles, gold-plated particles, silver-plated particles and copper-plated particles (col. 12, lines 1-10) [claim 15], wherein each of said first and second substrates is comprised of one of a multi-layered flexible circuit board, a multi-layered rigid printing circuit board, a double-sided flexible circuit board, and a double-sided rigid printing circuit board (col. 18, lines 25-40) [claim 16], further comprising an adhesive layer (95) formed on surfaces of said anisotropic electrical conductor [claim 17].

Similarly, Casson discloses, referring primarily to figures 1 & 2, a method of connecting a first substrate (70) including, on a surface thereof, a first group of electrode terminals (72, col. 10, lines 5-20) and a second substrate (75) including, on a surface thereof, a second group of electrode terminals arranged in alignment with said first group of electrode terminals (col. 18, lines 40-55); comprising arranging an anisotropic electrical conductor (95) between said first and second substrates, wherein

Art Unit: 2841

said first substrate, said anisotropic electrical conductor, and said second substrate are caused to electrically connect to each other (col. 18, lines 40-55). Casson does not specifically state attaching a pressurizer to said first substrate, said anisotropic electrical conductor, and said second substrate to pressurize said first substrate, said second substrate and said anisotropic electrical conductor in a thickness-wise direction thereof [claim ¹¹2]. However, Centola teaches, referring primarily to figures 4 and 9, an electromagnetic edge shield on a printed circuit board where the shield pressurizes the printed circuit board (col. 4, line 60 – col. 5, line 25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the edge shield taught by Centola on the circuit board of Casson, which would cause the first substrate, anisotropic conductor and the second substrate to be in pressurized in a thickness direction thereof. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a shield to prevent electromagnetic radiation from emitting from the edge of the circuit board (Centola col. 2, lines 35-40).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 26 October 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges "Centola does not disclose or suggest the U-shaped device acts as a pressurizer the first substrate, the anisotropic electrical conductor and the second substrate such that they electrically connect to each other. The Examiner is improperly using hindsight in Applicant's own disclosure in an effort to incorrectly transform the U-shaped device into a pressurizer". However, as noted

Art Unit: 2841

above, the Examiner has only stated that an ordinarily skilled artisan would have motivation to place the U-shaped device of Centolla on the printed circuit board of Casson. The motivation is to provide edge shielding. As Centolla teaches, the U-shaped device, when placed on a printed circuit board, provides a pressurizing effect as described with reference to figure 9. Thus, the ordinarily skilled artisan would instantly recognize that providing the U-shaped device of Centolla on the invention of Casson to provide edge shielding would result in pressurizing the first substrate, the anisotropic adhesive and the and second substrate as they electrically connect to each other. Thus the modification of Casson in view of Centolla, motivated by the desire to provide edge shielding, results in the instantly claimed device. Hence, Applicant's traversal of the instant rejection on this ground is deemed unsuccessful.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.


Art Unit: 2841

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JCSN


ISHWAR PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER